UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Romon Soria	Case Number: 07-30288-04
Defendant	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act detention of the defendant pending trial in the	, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the its case.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
or local offense that would have be a crime of violence as defined an offense for which the maxim	ffense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state en a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). num sentence is life imprisonment or death. unterm of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in *
	ter the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. rs has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	olish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the ecommunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternative Findings (A)
	that the defendant has committed an offense imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	imprisonment of ten years of more is prescribed in
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the p	presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure required and the safety of the community.
,	Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defe (2) There is a serious risk that the defe	ndant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	art II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention
I find that the credible testimony and infiderance of the evidence that	formation submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepon-
This is a presumption case. Defendant was born here, but lived in Mexico for the required the use of an interpreter in Couper week. On 1/31/07 defendant was classified to the couper week.	is 25 years old, married and the father of a daughter. He no longer resides with his wife. He he first 18 years of his life. His mother and two half siblings live in Mexico. Defendant art. Defendant has worked for the last two years as a lawn care person, earning \$300 - \$400 harged with first degree felony Possession of Marijuana, more than 2000 pounds. Defendant d. The matter is pending. (continue on page 2)
	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
to the extent practicable, from persons awai reasonable opportunity for private consultat	y of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, ting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a ion with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the rections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
June 7, 2007	s/ Mona K. Majzoub
Date	Signature of Judge
	MONA K. MAJZOUB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Name and Title of Judge
	rume and rue of suage

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

07-30288-04 USA V RAMON SORIA

PAGE 2

Defendant reported no ties to the USA other than his self-employment in Texas. He provided no reference for verification of his background. He has significant family ties to Mexico and travels there regularly. He is charged in the instant case with conspiracy to distribute and possession with the intent to distribute more than 195 bundles of bulk marijuana wrapped in cellophane. While under surveillance, he was seen unloading and handling 195 bales of marijuana, allegedly for distribution, on June 5, 2007. Nothing was presented at today's hearing that rebuts the statutory presumption. Based upon the nature of the charges in the instant matter, and the fact that defendant is currently on bond in Texas for a similar alleged offense, defendant is a danger to the community. For the reasons stated above, defendant is a flight risk. No combination of conditions would assure the safety of the community or defendant's appearance in court.